

the abscissa at some point x . The half-curves so obtained were weighed with a chemical balance and, after several cuttings and weighings, two half-curves with equal weight were obtained. After the balance had been effected, the distance between the cut edge and a fiducial mark on the tracing was measured. This value constituted the X coordinate for the median.

The standard deviation was determined from the X coordinates of a given diffraction line and, as before, $\pm 3\sigma$ was taken as the value beyond which the number of observations having this error would be negligible.

The results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. *Photometric estimation of centers of diffraction lines*

	σ (cm.)	$B = RW$ (cm.)	$r = 3\sigma/B$
Coarse film, sharp line	0.0018	0.034	1/6
Coarse film, broad line	0.0034	0.17	1/18
Fine film, broad line	0.0026	0.18	1/23

The general tendency for increased relative accuracy for wider lines is again apparent. However, it is surprising that, for lines broader than those evaluated visually, the accuracy is lower. It seems that the discriminating ability of the eye makes it superior to the microphotometer for the usual range of line width.

In a more accurate method of objective evaluation, one would first have computed, by the method of least squares, the best-fitting curve corresponding to each microphotometer tracing and then have determined the median. However, this procedure appears too cumbersome for routine use.

It is doubtful whether the present choice of exposure time and background density are the most favorable. Only further investigations can answer the question.

3. Conclusions

The minimum width of a diffraction line depends on the spectral impurity of the incident characteristic radiation. It has been shown that at large diffraction angles, 80° or more, the spectral width will predominate over the geometrical width.

Such a diffraction line, produced with a smooth intensity distribution, will appear irregular when recorded on film because of the fluctuations in density caused by the film grain. The limit of accuracy of lattice-parameter determination is reached because of the error of determination of the intensity maximum of a wide line photographically recorded. Objective and subjective measurements of the error in determination of the line center lead to a relative error $|\delta d/d| \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$, due to the spectral width alone, in agreement with the best reported accuracy.

The authors wish to thank Dr C. S. Barrett for a critical review of this paper.

References

- BRADLEY, A. J. & JAY, A. H. (1932). *Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond.* **44**, 563.
 COMPTON, A. H. & ALLISON, S. K. (1935). *X-rays in Theory and Experiment*. New York: Van Nostrand.
 HALLA, F. & MARK, H. (1937). *Röntgenographische Untersuchung von Kristallen*. Leipzig: Barth.
 JETTE, E. R. & FOOTE, F. (1935). *J. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 605.
 LIHL, F. (1934). *Ann. Phys., Lpz.*, (5), **19**, 305.
 LIHL, F. (1932). *Z. Krystallogr. A*, **83**, 193.
 NORTON, J. T. & LORING, B. M. (1941). *Weld. J. Suppl.* **20**, 286s.
 WORTHING, A. G. & GEFFNER, J. (1943). *Treatment of Experimental Data*. New York: Wiley.

Acta Cryst. (1949). **2**, 104

The Crystal Structure of Groutite, HMnO_2

BY ROBERT L. COLLIN AND WILLIAM N. LIPSCOMB

School of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis 14, Minnesota, U.S.A.

(Received 27 September 1948 and in revised form 20 October 1948)

The crystal structure of groutite, a new modification of HMnO_2 , has been determined. The dimensions of the orthorhombic unit cell are $a = 4.58 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 10.76 \text{ \AA}$, and $c = 2.89 \text{ \AA}$, and the space group is $D_{2h}^{16} - Pbnm$. The atomic arrangement is very nearly identical with that of diaspore.

Introduction

The crystallography of groutite, which has been reported by Gruner (1947), indicates that it is a member of the diaspore-goethite group. In a preliminary X-ray diffraction study the size of the unit cell was indicated as $a = 4.56 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 10.70 \text{ \AA}$, and $c = 2.85 \text{ \AA}$, or possibly twice the last value. Since manganite does not show the same structure as the isoformular aluminum and iron minerals, boehmite and lepidocrocite, it was thought

advisable to make a determination of the unit cell, space group, and structure of groutite in order to make certain of its identification as a member of the diaspore-goethite group.

The unit cell and space group

A crystal with no dimension larger than 0.3 mm . was selected. The Laue symmetry mmm was verified, and the unit-cell values $a = 4.58 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 10.76 \text{ \AA}$, and

$c=2.89$ Å. were determined from oscillation and rotation photographs about [100], [010], and [001] taken with Cu $K\alpha$ and Mo $K\alpha$ radiation. The unit cell was further checked by the indexing of a Laue photograph taken with the X-ray beam at an angle of about 6° from the c axis; 59 first-order reflections were observed, all consistent with the unit cell. These values are in satisfactory agreement with those obtained by Gruner, and no doubling of the c axis was indicated.

The reflections on a rotation photograph taken with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation about b , the asymmetric Laue photograph, and zero-level Weissenberg photographs taken with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation about a and b indicated the extinctions* of $0kl$ when k is odd and of $h0l$ when $h+l$ is odd. These extinctions are consistent with the space group $D_{2h}^{16}-Pbnm$. Since a qualitative test† showed no

* The presence of a very faint (302) reflection ($F_{\text{obs}}=5$) was observed on the b -axis photograph. By use of short-range oscillation and stationary-crystal photographs with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation it was demonstrated that both (211) and (221) were reflecting simultaneously with (302). It was further shown that (302) was not present on a third-level Weissenberg photograph about the a axis. This exception to the space group is therefore due to two successive Bragg reflections within the crystal; this is the *Umweganregung* phenomenon described by Renninger (1937), and observed in other crystals by Davison and Haworth (1944), and by Pabst (1939).

† This test was carried out with the use of a Giebe-Scheibe type of apparatus somewhat modified from that described by Stokes (1947). This apparatus was constructed by Mr William J. Dulmage.

piezoelectricity, this space group was then assumed to be correct. The two Weissenberg photographs provided a further check on the unit-cell dimensions.

The atomic arrangement

The intensities of the reflections on the b -axis photograph taken with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation were estimated with the aid of an intensity standard prepared by controlled exposures for varying length of time to an X-ray beam the size of the diffraction spots. After correction for Lorentz, polarization, and multiplicity factors the structure factors F_{obs} , listed in Table I were obtained. The absolute scale of F_{obs} was established by comparison with F_{calc} by the method of least squares.

All structure-factor calculations were made with the use of atomic scattering factors (*Internationale Tabellen...* (1935, vol. 2, p. 571)) with no correction for temperature vibrations. The Mn^{+3} scattering factor was assumed to be the same as that for Mn except for a smooth extrapolation to atomic number 22 in the region $0 \leq \sin \theta < 0.15$. In a preliminary calculation the atomic parameters found for diaspoire were used. The agreement between observed and calculated structure factors indicated that groutite was isostructural with diaspoire. Some improvement in the agreement was obtained by a change in the y parameter of the Mn^{+3} ion from 0.146 to 0.140. It was not thought worth while to investigate further variations, especially of the para-

Table I. Comparison of observed and calculated structure factors

hkl	F_{obs}	F_{calc}	hkl	F_{obs}	F_{calc}	hkl	F_{obs}	F_{calc}	hkl	F_{obs}	F_{calc}
002	85	-82	220	< 11	-10	043	< 25	-15	162	< 15	8
101	23	16	221	60	-70	140	50	-46	260	28	26
103	< 19	-9	222	< 20	7	141	23	-24	261	23	19
200	24	25	223	36	44	142	26	25	262	12	-21
202	20	-25	320	42	33	143	< 11	8	360	36	-28
301	38	36	321	18	-21	240	50	-61	361	27	21
400	27*	44	322	30	-27	241	21	20	362	19	24
402	22	-36	420	< 17	-11	242	28	46	460	< 23	15
501	36	33	421	< 17	-20	340	< 25	7	461	25	33
			422	< 18	7	341	37	-29			
110	54	56	520	35	37	342	< 17	-3	170	39	53
111	54	-51	521	< 18	-0.1	440	< 24	-22	171	< 12	8
112	31	-36	522	30	36	441	< 10	7	172	33	-42
113	32	32	620	< 29	-2	442	< 18	20	270	< 11	-3
210	< 18	23				540	< 28	-21	271	23	16
211	34	25	130	54	-58				272	< 15	7
212	< 20	-12	131	25	-26	150	28	-22	370	36	42
213	< 19	-16	132	36	41	151	59	58	371	< 15	-8
310	< 24	20	133	< 17	17	152	18	16	372	31	-32
311	30	-30	230	< 11	9	153	28	-39	470	< 23	4
312	< 17	16	231	37	-22	250	38	-32	471	34	38
410	44	36	232	< 15	-8	251	9	-4			
411	< 17	14	233	< 21	15	252	24	24	081	38	-34
412	34	-30	330	44	-46	350	< 14	-1	082	29	-18
510	< 26	17	331	32	-25	351	< 22	-30	180	< 26	-6
511	< 19	-15	332	29	34	352	< 17	7	181	< 10	8
			430	24	22	450	29	-26	182	< 15	-3
021	39	-45	431	29	-32	451	< 17	-14	280	38	46
022	< 14	10	432	21	-18				281	20	-27
023	29	33	530	< 26	-7	061	58	72	282	28	-37
120	25	18	531	< 18	-0.04	062	18	-26	380	22	28
121	24	29				063	35	-48	381	< 12	8
122	< 18	-12	041	30	24	160	12	-11	480	< 23	10
123	< 18	-11	042	47	40	161	< 11	2			

* The white radiation background was high compared with the intensity of this diffraction.

meters of the oxygen ions which scatter comparatively poorly. The atomic positions are

$$x, y, \frac{1}{4}; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{4},$$

with the parameters

	x	y
Mn ⁺³	-0.036	0.140
O _I ⁻²	0.27	-0.20
O _{II} ⁻²	-0.21	-0.05

The values of F_{calc} in Table 1, obtained from these parameters, are in satisfactory agreement with F_{obs} .

Discussion

The average Mn⁺³-O⁻² distance is 2.06 Å, in satisfactory agreement with the sum of radii, 2.02 Å. (Pauling (1940, p. 350)). The average O⁻²-O⁻² distance is 2.9 Å, again in agreement with the expected value, 2.80 Å. (Pauling (1940, p. 350)).

Gruner (1947) has discussed the diaspore-goethite-groutite series and the boehmite-lepidocrocite-manganite series on the basis of unit-cell volumes. The member of each dimorph with the smaller cell volume is observed to be the more stable, presumably because the coulomb energy is greater for smaller inter-atomic distances. It may be of interest to add a possible explanation for the deviation of manganite from the second series, inasmuch as its true unit cell has about twice the a value and one-half of the b value of boehmite. The electronic configuration of Mn⁺³ is $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^4 3d^4$. Hund's rule places the four $3d$ electrons unpaired in four of the five $3d$ orbitals, leaving one $3d$ orbital unoccupied. The Mn⁺³ ion could then form partially ionic, partially covalent bonds of intermediate type; the covalent part would be of the square, coplanar dsp^2 type. It seems very likely that this is the case, because the structure of manganite (Buerger, 1936) actually has four oxygen

ions surrounding a manganese ion at distances of 1.85 to 1.92 Å, in a nearly square configuration, while the two other oxygen ions of the octahedron are at a distance of 2.30 Å. Bonding of this type is not possible for the Fe⁺³ ion because each of the $3d$ orbitals is occupied by an unpaired electron, and none is available for covalent contribution. In Al⁺³ the $3d$ orbitals are at much too high an energy level to be useful in this way.

While it is possible that this phenomenon contributes also to the stability of manganite as compared with groutite, it is also possible that such distortions of the oxygen octahedra occur in groutite as well. Again, because of the low scattering power of O⁻² compared with that of Mn⁺³, we did not think it profitable to try to refine the oxygen parameters in order to investigate this possibility.

We are very much indebted to Prof. John W. Gruner, who suggested that we undertake this problem, for supplying us with the groutite crystals. We wish to thank the Graduate School of the University of Minnesota for a grant in aid of this research.

References

- BUERGER, M. J. (1936). *Z. Krystallogr. A*, **95**, 163.
 DAVISSON, C. J. & HAWORTH, F. E. (1944). *Phys. Rev.* **66**, 351.
 EWING, F. (1935). *J. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 203.
 GRUNER, J. W. (1947). *Amer. Min.* **32**, 654.
Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen (1935). Berlin: Borntraeger.
 PABST, A. (1939). *Amer. Min.* **24**, 566.
 PAULING, L. (1940). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 2nd ed. Cornell: University Press.
 RENNINGER, M. (1937). *Z. Phys., Lpz.*, **106**, 141.
 STOKES, R. G. (1947). *Amer. Min.* **32**, 670.

Acta Cryst. (1949). **2**, 106

Die Absorption der Röntgenstrahlen in Kristallen im Interferenzfall

VON M. VON LAUE

Max Planck Institut für Physik, Göttingen, Deutschland

(Eingegangen am 2. August 1948)

Experiments by Borrmann show that the absorption of the primary X-ray in a perfect crystal is affected, and sometimes reduced, by the presence of diffracted rays. The paper discusses the case where both the primary and the diffracted rays leave the crystal slab by the same face; the change of absorption of the primary ray is calculated according to the dynamical theory of X-ray diffraction and is found to be in general agreement with Borrmann's observations.

1. Problemstellung

Die dynamische Theorie der Röntgenstrahlinterferenzen dürfte im Allgemeinen ziemlich abgeschlossen sein; eine Folgerung aus ihr ist jedoch noch nie völlig durchdiskutiert worden, nämlich wie die Strahlen im Fall einer Interferenz absorbiert werden. Zwar weiss

man lange, dass in diesem Falle der gewöhnliche Absorptionskoeffizient gleich dem Brechungsindex seine Bedeutung verliert. Die *Wellenfelder*, welche dabei an die Stelle der einfachen ebenen Welle treten, erleiden grundsätzlich eine ganz andere Absorption. Nun ruft eine ebene Welle, wenn sie auf einen Kristall trifft, in